

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,390	09/29/2003	Mark Bernard Hettish	2003P08063US	4143
Attn: Elsa Kel	7590 01/25/2010 ler, Legal Administrator	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			PADMANABHAN, KAVITA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/673,390	HETTISH ET AL.
Review		Art Unit
Review	Kavita Padmanabhan	2161

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	equest for Review filed 27 October 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. ed with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	inues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appe is required to submit an appeal brief in ac brief will be reset to be one month from m running from the receipt of the notice of a	hals and Interferences — A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been bad because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant because there is at least one actual issue for appeal appeal halling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the st Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7, 9-17, 20, and Claim(s) withdrawn from considerati	1 <u>21</u> .
Allowable application – A confere Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on applicant at this time.	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conferen action will be mailed. No further action is	uce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Kavita Padmanabhan.	(3) <u>Don Wong</u> .
(2) Apu Mofiz.	(4)
/Apu M Mofiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20100120